arXiv:1612.06941v2 [math.RT] 1 Mar 2017

CATEGORIFICATION VIA BLOCKS OF MODULAR REPRESENTATIONS FOR $\mathfrak{sl}_n$

VINOTH NANDAKUMAR AND GUFANG ZHAO

Dedicated to our friend, Dmitry Vaintrob

Abstract. Bernstein, Frenkel and Khovanov have constructed a categorification of tensor products of the standard representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, where they use singular blocks of category $\mathcal{O}$ for $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ and translation functors. Here we construct a positive characteristic analogue using blocks of representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ over a field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic $p > n$, with zero Frobenius character, and singular Harish-Chandra character; this is related to a categorification constructed by Chuang-Rouquier using representations of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{k})$. The classes of the irreducible modules give a basis in the Grothendieck group, depending on p, that we call the "p-canonical weight basis". When $p \gg 0$, we show that the aforementioned categorification admits a graded lift, which is equivalent to a geometric categorification constructed by Cautis, Kamnitzer, and Licata using coherent sheaves on cotangent bundles to Grassmanians. This equivalence is established using the positive characteristic localization theory developed by Riche and Bezrukavnikov-Mirković-Rumynin. As a consequence, we obtain an abelian refinement of the [CKL10] categorification; these results are related to the framework recently developed by Cautis-Koppensteiner ([CauKop]) and Cautis-Kamnitzer ([CK16]).

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Construction of the categorification 4
3. Irreducible objects, and p-canonical weight bases 11
4. A graded lift and equivalence to a geometric categorification 13
5. Further directions. 20
References 21

1. Introduction

In their landmark paper [BFK99], Bernstein, Frenkel, and Khovanov categorify the action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ on the tensor product $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$ using singular blocks of category $\mathcal{O}$ for $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. Here we construct a modular analogue of [BFK99], using representation categories of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ in positive characteristic, and show that this categorification has a graded lifting that is equivalent to the geometric $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification constructed by Cautis, Kamnitzer, and Licata in [CKL10] using derived category of coherent sheaves on Grassmannians. Although [BFK99] and [CKL10] both categorify the same $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-representation $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$, the categories used are not equivalent; here we establish a connection between the two constructions.

Categorification refers to the idea of lifting algebraic, and representation theoretic, structures and maps to the categorical level. In particular, given a linear map between two vector spaces,

Date: March 2, 2017.
Key words and phrases. Categorification, modular representation, Fourier-Mukai transform, localization.

the vector spaces are lifted to categories, and the linear map is lifted to a functor. In many cases (including the one discussed in this paper, and in [BFK99]), the categories in question themselves arise in representation theoretic contexts, and interesting properties of these representation categories can be deduced using the general framework of categorification. One of the first examples was given by Ariki in [Ari96] and Grojnowski in [Gro99], which says the highest weight modules of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}$ can be categorified using suitable representation blocks of affine Hecke algebras and their quotients. In [CR08], Chuang and Rouquier use the framework that they developed, applied to this categorification, to prove Broue's abelian defect conjecture.

We are interested in $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorifications. An $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-representation on a finite-dimensional complex vector space V consists of a weight space decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} V_r$, linear maps $E_{r+1} : V_r \to V_{r+2}$ and $F_r : V_{r+2} \to V_r$, such that

$$E_{r-1}F_{r-1} - F_{r+1}E_{r+1} = r \cdot \mathrm{Id}$$

Loosely speaking, when we *categorify* the representation V, we replace each weight space V_r by a category $\mathcal{C}_r$ such that $K^0(\mathcal{C}_r) \simeq V_r$; and replace the maps E_{r+1} and F_{r+1} by functors $\mathcal{E}_{r+1} : \mathcal{C}_r \to \mathcal{C}_{r+2}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{r+1} : \mathcal{C}_{r+2} \to \mathcal{C}_r$ which satisfy the categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ relation (as spelled out in (1) in Section 2.1). We will also need Chuang-Rouquier's notion of an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification, which consists of some extra data: endomorphisms $X \in \mathrm{End}(\bigoplus \mathcal{E}_r), T \in \mathrm{End}(\bigoplus \mathcal{E}_{r+2} \circ \mathcal{E}_r)$ satisfying certain compatibilities (see Section 2.1).

One of the first interesting examples of this was given by Bernstein-Frenkel-Khovanov in [BFK99] (and motivated by the geometric constructions from Beilinson-Lusztig-MacPherson, [BLM], and Grojnowski, [Gr92]). The $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-representation in question is $V = (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$, which has a weight space decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{0\le i\le n} V_{-n+2r}$ with $\dim(V_{-n+2r}) = \binom{n}{r}$. The category $\mathcal{C}_{-n+2r}$ is taken to be the singular block of category $\mathcal{O}$ for $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ with Harish-Chandra character $\mu_r = -\rho + e_1 + \cdots + e_r$ (here ρ is the half-sum of all positive roots, and $e_1, \cdots, e_n$ are co-ordinates on the Cartan matrix). $\mathcal{E}_{-n+2r+1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_{-n+2r+1}$) are given by translation functors between these blocks, and are given by tensoring with $\mathbb{C}^n$ (resp. $(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$) followed by projection. A basis for $K^0(\mathcal{C}_{-n+2r})$ is then given by the classes of the Verma modules, and this basis is identified with the standard basis of the weight space V_{-n+2r}. In [CR08], Chuang-Rouquier verify that this is a $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification in their sense.

In this paper, we first construct a modular analogue of this result, using blocks of representations of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{sl}_n$ defined over an algebraically closed field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic $p > n$; see Sections 2.2 and 2.3 for more details. We will be categorifying the same representation $V = (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$, and will take $\mathcal{C}_{-n+2r}$ to be the category $\mathrm{Mod}_{0,\mu_r}(\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{g})$ of finitely generated $\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{g}$-modules, on which the Harish-Chandra center acts via the same generalized central character μ_r, and the Frobenius center acts by central character 0. As before, these central characters are carefully chosen so that the rank of the Grothendieck groups is equal to $\binom{n}{r}$. Again, the functors $\mathcal{E}_{-n+2r+1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{-n+2r+1}$ are translation functors between the corresponding blocks, and are given by tensoring with $\mathbf{k}^n$ (resp. $(\mathbf{k}^n)^*$) followed by projection.

Here we emphasize that although the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-representation being categorified is defined over $\mathbb{C}$, the categories used in this construction are representation categories of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ over a field of positive characteristic. This is closely related to the categorification constructed by Chuang and Rouquier [CR08, § 7.5] using representations of $\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbf{k})$ (see Remark 2.12).

Theorem A. Along with the categories $\mathcal{C}_{-n+2r} = \mathrm{Mod}_{0,\mu_r}(\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{g})$, for $0 \le r \le n$, and the translation functors $\mathcal{E}_{-n+2r+1}$, $\mathcal{F}_{-n+2r+1}$, there exist endofunctors X and T which constitute an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification (in the sense of Chuang and Rouquier).

A more precise statement is given in Section 2.3. Our categorification is of particular interest in the case where p is small; the classes of the irreducible modules give a basis in $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$, which

we dub the "p-canonical weight basis" (see Section 3.2). This is inspired by the p-canonical bases introduced by Jensen and Williamson (see [JenWil16]); a different notion of p-canonical bases were studied by Grojnowski in [Gro99]. The $n = 2$ case gives an interesting example of a categorification of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ acting on $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ that differs from the one given by [BFK99] (see Section 3.1).

Our second result is that, when $p \gg 0$, this categorification admits a graded lift, which is equivalent to one constructed by Cautis, Kamnitzer, and Licata in [CKL10]; see Section 4.3 for more details. When working in the graded setting, following Rouquier [Rou08], the analogous notion categorifying an action of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is that of a "strong categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action", recalled in Section 2.1 (see also [CKL10, § 2.1]). In the construction from [CKL10], the category $\mathcal{C}_{-n+2r}$ is taken to be the derived category of $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant coherent sheaves on $T^*\mathbf{Gr}(r, n)$ (here $\mathbf{Gr}(r, n)$ is the Grassmannian of r-dimensional vector spaces in $\mathbf{k}^n$, and $\mathbb{G}_m$ is the multiplicative group). The functors that are denoted by $\mathbf{E}(-n+2r+1)$ and $\mathbf{F}(-n+2r+1)$ are given by certain pull-push maps using an intermediary space. They show that this fits into their framework of "geometric categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-actions", and consequently deduce that this is a strong $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification. Upon taking Grothendieck groups, one obtains the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-representation $V = (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$, where $\mathbb{C}^2$ is endowed with the standard representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

The graded lift of the modular representation categories in question, denoted by $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg},\,\mathrm{gr}}_{0,\mu_r}(U\mathfrak{g})$, is called the Koszul grading, constructed by Riche in [Ri], using a localization equivalence that builds upon the framework developed by Bezrukavnikov-Mirković-Rumynin in [BMR08]. The localization equivalence yields that:

$$D^b\mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(T^*\mathbf{Gr}(r, n)) \simeq D^b\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg},\,\mathrm{gr}}_{0,\mu_r}(\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{g}).$$

The graded lift of the categorification from Theorem A is obtained from the [CKL10] categorification via twisting by certain line bundles, followed by applying the above equivalences to it. See Section 4.3 for a more precise version of the below.

Theorem B. There exists a strong categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action using the categories $\mathcal{D}_{-n+2r} = \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg,gr}}_{0,\mu_r}(\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{g})$, and certain graded lifts of the translation functors $E_{-n+2r+1}, F_{-n+2r+1}$. This is equivalent to the categorification constructed by Cautis, Kamnitzer, and Licata in [CKL10].

Corollary. The geometric categorification constructed by Cautis, Kamnitzer, and Licata in [CKL10] admits an abelian refinement.

Unlike many other examples of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorifications, the construction from [CKL10] uses derived categories, and the existence of an abelian refinement is not immediate from the definition. A more general theory of abelian refinements and exotic t-structures is developed by Cautis and Koppensteiner; see Corollary 9.2 of [CauKop]. Our proof of Theorem B involves studying the image of the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ functors, under the linear Koszul duality equivalence that is used in [Ri]. This leads us to study coherent sheaves on the Grothendieck-Springer varieties $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}}$. The category of coherent sheaves studied here is closely related to Cautis-Kamnitzer's categorical loop $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ action on these categories constructed in [CK16]. See Remark 4.13 for a more precise discussion of these connections.

Organization of this paper. In Section 2, we recall some background material about categorification, and about modular representations of Lie algebras. We then state in more details and prove Theorem A. In Section 3, we describe the $n = 2$ example in some detail, and define the "p-canonical weight bases" that are obtained by looking at the classes of the irreducible objects. In Section 4, we recall some background material about Riche's localization results; then we state in more details and prove Theorem B. In Section 5, we discuss some open problems and further directions.

Acknowledgements. We are very much indebted to Roman Bezrukavnikov, who first suggested to us that the categorification from [CKL10] admits an abelian refinement using exotic t-structures. We would like to thank Joel Kamnitzer, Jonathan Brundan, Sabin Cautis, Alexander Kleshchev, Simon Riche, Anthony Licata, Raphaël Rouquier, Mikhail Khovanov, Will Hardesty, Michael Ehrig, Oded Yacobi, David Yang, You Qi and Kevin Coulembier for helpful discussions. We are grateful to Jim Humphreys for helpful comments on a previous version of this manuscript. The first author would also like to thank the University of Utah (in particular, Peter Trapa), and the University of Sydney (in particular, Gus Lehrer and Ruibin Zhang) for supporting this research.

2. Construction of the categorification

In this section, we construct the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification using blocks of representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$, and prove Theorem A. In Sections 2.1 and 2.2, we collect some background material about categorification and modular representations of Lie algebras, that will be used in later sections. The reader may wish to return to these two sections after reading Section 2.3, and readers familiar with the material may wish to skip Sections 2.1 and 2.2.

2.1. **Categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-actions.** We give an overview of categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-actions, and state some of Chuang-Rouquier's results from [CR08]; this sub-section is purely expository.

Definition 2.1. A weak $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification is the data of an adjoint pair (E, F) of exact endofunctors on a triangulated category $\mathcal{A}$, such that

(1) action of $e = [E]$ and $f = [F]$ on $V = \mathbb{Q} \otimes K(\mathcal{A})$ gives a locally-finite $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-representation.
(2) the classes of the simple objects of $\mathcal{A}$ are weight vectors;
(3) F is isomorphic to a left adjoint of E.

Let $V = \oplus_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} V_r$ be the weight decomposition, let $\mathcal{A}_r$ be the full subcategory consisting of objects in $\mathcal{A}$ whose classes in the Grothendieck group lie in V_r. One easy consequence of this definition is that we have a decomposition $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_r$; see [CR08, Lemma 5].

Definition 2.2. An $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification is a weak $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification, together with the data of $X \in \mathrm{End}(E)$ and $T \in \mathrm{End}(E^2)$, and $q \in \mathbf{k}^\times, a \in \mathbf{k}$, such that:

- $(1_E T) \circ (T 1_E) \circ (1_E T) = (T 1_E) \circ (1_E T) \circ (T 1_E)$ in $\mathrm{End}(E^3)$
- $(T + 1_{E^2}) \circ (T - q1_{E^2}) = 0$ in $\mathrm{End}(E^2)$
- In $\mathrm{End}(E^2)$, we have:

$$T \circ (1_E X) \circ T = \begin{cases} qX1_E & \text{if } q \neq 1 \\ X1_E - T & \text{if } q = 1 \end{cases}$$

- $X - a$ is locally nilpotent

By [CR08, Theorem 5.27], the categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-relation

$$EF|_{\mathcal{A}_{-r}} \oplus \mathrm{Id}^{\oplus r}_{\mathcal{A}_{-r}} \simeq FE|_{\mathcal{A}_{-r}}, \qquad EF|_{\mathcal{A}_r} \simeq FE|_{\mathcal{A}_r} \oplus \mathrm{Id}^{\oplus r}_{\mathcal{A}_r} \tag{1}$$

(here $r \geq 0$) follows as a consequence of Definition 2.2. Roughly speaking, a $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification is a collection of categories $\mathcal{A}_r$; adjoint functors between them

$$E_{r+1} : \mathcal{A}_r \to \mathcal{A}_{r+2}, F_{r+1} : \mathcal{A}_{r+2} \to \mathcal{A}_r$$

that satisfy the functorial $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-relation. The results of Chuang-Rouquier imply that the notion of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification satisfies this property.

Below we briefly recall the definition of a *strong categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action*; for sake of brevity, we omit some of the details and refer the reader to [CKL10, § 2.1] for a list of the compatibilities that

must be satisfied. This data is essentially equivalent to a representation of Rouquier's categorical quantum group defined in [Rou08], as explained in [CKL10, Remark 2.2]. Given these pieces of data, one obtains an action of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ on the split Grothendieck group (see [CKL11, § 2.2] for more details); thus one may think of this as a categorification of a representation of the quantum group for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$.

Definition 2.3. A *strong categorical* $\mathfrak{sl}_2$*-action* consists of the following pieces of data, that satisfy some additional compatibilities:

- For each $-n \leq r \leq n$, a $\mathbf{k}$-linear, $\mathbb{Z}$-graded additive category $\mathcal{D}(r)$. Let $\mathcal{D}(r) = 0$ if $r \notin [-n, n]$.
- For each $k \geq 1$, functors $E^{(k)}(r) : \mathcal{D}(r-k) \to \mathcal{D}(k+r)$ and $F^{(k)}(r) : \mathcal{D}(k+r) \to \mathcal{D}(r-k)$ Denote $E(r) := E^{(1)}(r), F(r) := F^{(1)}(r)$; we refer to $E^{(k)}(r), F^{(k)}(r)$ as the divided powers.
- Adjunction morphisms:
$$\eta_1 : \mathrm{id} \to F^{(k)}(r)E^{(k)}(r)\langle rk\rangle, \qquad \eta_2 : \mathrm{id} \to E^{(k)}(r)F^{(k)}(r)\langle -rk\rangle$$
$$\epsilon_1 : F^{(k)}(r)E^{(k)}(r) \to \mathrm{id}\langle rk\rangle, \qquad \epsilon_2 : E^{(k)}(r)F^{(k)}(r) \to \mathrm{id}\langle -rk\rangle$$
- Morphisms:
$$\iota : E^{(k+1)}(r)\langle k\rangle \to E^{(1)}(k+r)E^{(k)}(r-1), \quad \pi : E(k+r)E^{(k)}(r-1) \to E^{(k+1)}(r)\langle -k\rangle$$
- Morphisms:
$$\hat{X}(r) : E(r)\langle -1\rangle \to E(r)\langle 1\rangle, \qquad \hat{T}(r) : E(r+1)E(r-1)\langle 1\rangle \to E(r+1)E(r-1)\langle -1\rangle$$

In [CKL10], the notion of a "geometric categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action" is developed, which is more convenient when working in the framework of coherent sheaves, and they show that it can be used to construct a categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action. To avoid repetition, we omit the definition, and refer the interested reader to [CKL10, Definition 2.2, § 4, § 5].

2.2. **Modular representations of Lie algebras.** Let G be a semisimple, simply connected, algebraic group, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, defined over a field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic p. Assume that p satisfies conditions (H1)-(H3) in B.6 of [Jant04]. In the case that we will be studying, where $G = SL_n(\mathbf{k})$, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbf{k})$, it is sufficient that $p > n$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^+$ be the triangular decomposition, W be the associated Weyl group, and ρ the half-sum of all positive roots. Recall that we have the twisted action of W on $\mathfrak{h}^*$:
$$w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$$
In this subsection we will collect some facts about the representation theory of $\mathfrak{g}$, and refer the reader to Jantzen's expository article [Jant04] for a detailed treatment.

First we will need the following description of the center of the universal enveloping algebra $U\mathfrak{g}$. The Harish-Chandra center Z_{HC} to be $Z_{\mathrm{HC}} = (\mathrm{U}\mathfrak{g})^G$. Given an element $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, it is known that there exists a unique $x^{[p]} \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $x^p - x^{[p]} \in Z(U\mathfrak{g})$. Then the Frobenius center Z_{Fr} is defined to be the subalgebra generated by $\{x^p - x^{[p]} \mid x \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. In fact, for $p \gg 0$, $Z(U\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by Z_{Fr} and Z_{HC}; see [Jant04, § C] for more details.

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg}}_{0,\nu}(U\mathfrak{g})$ be the full category of all finitely generated modules, where the Frobenius center Z_{Fr} acts with a fixed zero character, and the Harish-Chandra character Z_{HC} acts via a generalized central character $\nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*//W$. (We follow the convention that the Harish-Chandra character are ρ-shifted.) We will refer to $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg}}_{0,\nu}(U\mathfrak{g})$ as a "block". Let "restricted Lie algebra" $U_0\mathfrak{g}$ is the quotient of $U\mathfrak{g}$ by the ideal $\langle x^p - x^{[p]} \mid x \in \mathfrak{g}\rangle$, and $\mathrm{Rep}(U_0\mathfrak{g})$ be the category of all finitely generated representations of $U_0\mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 2.5. An integral central character $\nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*//W$ is said to be regular if its (twisted) W-orbit contains $|W|$ elements, and singular otherwise.

The following decomposition (see Section C of [Jant04]) is why we refer to the categories $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg}}_{0,\nu}(U\mathfrak{g})$ as "blocks"; we will refer to it as a singular (or regular) block depending on whether ν is singular (or regular). The principal block is the one containing the trivial (i.e. one-dimensional) module.

$$\mathrm{Rep}(U_0\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*//W} \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg}}_{0,\nu}(U\mathfrak{g})$$

Definition 2.6. Let $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ be an integral weight. Define $U_0\mathfrak{b}$ to be be the quotient of $U\mathfrak{b}$ by the ideal $\langle x^p - x^{[p]} \mid x \in \mathfrak{b}\rangle$, and $\mathbf{k}_\mu$ the $U_0\mathfrak{b}$-module with highest weight μ. Then the baby Verma module $Z(\mu)$ is defined as:

$$Z(\mu) = U_0\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{U_0\mathfrak{b}} \mathbf{k}_\mu$$

It is defined analogously to its counterparts in category $\mathcal{O}$, and they share many properties. Most importantly, the module $Z(\mu)$ has unique maximal sub-quotient, which we denote $L(\mu)$. Thus for any integral weight $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, we have a simple module $L(\mu)$; these are pairwise non-isomorphic, any simple module in $\mathrm{Rep}(U_0\mathfrak{g})$ occurs in this way, and $L(\mu)$ lies in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg}}_{0,\nu}(U\mathfrak{g})$ precisely if $\mu \in W \cdot \nu$. In other words, the irreducible objects in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg}}_{0,\nu}(U\mathfrak{g})$ are $\{L(w \cdot \nu) \mid w \in W\}$. The number of simple objects in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg}}_{0,\nu}(U\mathfrak{g})$ is hence equal to the size of the W-orbit of ν (using the twisted Weyl group action). See Sections C and D of [Jant04] for more details on this classification.

Definition 2.7. Given a dominant weight $\lambda \in \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{Z}}$, let $\gamma = -w_0\lambda$. Here $w_0 \in W$ is the long word in the Weyl group, and let $\mathcal{O}(\gamma)$ be the corresponding line bundle on the flag variety. Then the Weyl module $\mathbf{V}(\lambda)$ is defined to be the following G-module:

$$\mathbf{V}(\lambda) = H^0(\mathcal{O}(\gamma))^*$$

Weyl modules can also be defined over the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ using the below equivalence, involving the first Frobenius kernel G_1. See [Jant03, Chapter 7] for a definition of the subscheme $G_1 \subset G$ and [Hum06, Chapter 5] for a proof of the equivalence.

$$\mathrm{Rep}(G_1) \simeq \mathrm{Rep}(U_0\mathfrak{g})$$

Definition 2.8. The Weyl module $V(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Rep}(U_0\mathfrak{g})$ is defined by first taking the image of $\mathbf{V}(\lambda)$ under the restriction map: $\mathrm{Rep}(G) \to \mathrm{Rep}(G_1)$, and then take the image under the above equivalence: $\mathrm{Rep}(G_1) \simeq \mathrm{Rep}(U_0\mathfrak{g})$.

Intuitively, the Weyl modules $V(\lambda)$ may be thought of as the reduction $V_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{k}$, where V_λ is the irreducible module in characteristic zero, equipped with a $\mathbb{Z}$-form (to make this precise, one needs the notion of a minimal admissible lattice; see Section 1.1 of [Lin] for an exposition of this). See [Jant04, Section 2.13] (and Humphreys' expository note [Hum14]) for more details about Weyl modules.

Let $\overline{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the image of $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ after reducing modulo p. Then the module $L(\overline{\lambda}) \in \mathrm{Rep}(U_0\mathfrak{g})$ has a unique maximal submodule, and $V(\lambda)$ occurs as the sub-quotient (see [Jant03, Section 2.14]). Further, $V(\lambda)$ lies in the same block as $L(\overline{\lambda})$ (this follows from the alternative approach to the Weyl modules using minimal admissible lattices).

Remark 2.9. Note that Weyl modules are much more commonly used when dealing with representations of algebraic groups in positive characteristic, and appear less often in the representation theory of Lie algebras in positive characteristic. However, we will need them in the latter context,

specifically in Lemma 2.13; unless specified otherwise, by Weyl module we will mean the module for the Lie algebra. Note also that here we are only working with the finite Weyl group W; the affine Weyl group appears naturally when studying other aspects of the structure theory, but we will not need it here.

Remark 2.10. For readers who are more familiar with the category $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$, it is worth noting that any irreducible representation of $U_0\mathfrak{g}$ can be lifted to an irreducible representation of G with a restricted highest weight (i.e. a weight $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $0 \leq \langle \lambda, \check{\alpha} \rangle < p$ for each simple α). More precisely, under the equivalence $\mathrm{Rep}(G_1) \simeq \mathrm{Rep}(U_0\mathfrak{g})$, the irreducible $L(\overline{\lambda})$ corresponds to the restriction of the irreducible module for G with highest weight λ, under the restriction map $\mathrm{Rep}(G) \to \mathrm{Rep}(G_1)$. All other irreducibles in $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ may be obtained using the Steinberg tensor product theorem. In this paper, we will solely be dealing with representations of the restricted enveloping algebra $U_0\mathfrak{g}$; more specifically, the blocks $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg}}_{0,\nu}(U\mathfrak{g})$.

2.3. **Statement of Theorem A.** Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$ be defined over an algebraically closed field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic p, with $p > n$.

Our goal is to categorify the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action on $V = (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$. Denote its weight spaces, and the restrictions of the operators E and F between them, as follows (here $V_{-n+2r} = 0$ if $r \notin \{0, 1, \cdots, n\}$):

$$V = (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{n} V_{-n+2r}, \qquad \dim(V_{-n+2r}) = \binom{n}{r}$$

$$E = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{n} E_{-n+2r+1}, \qquad E_{-n+2r+1} : V_{-n+2r} \to V_{-n+2r+2}$$

$$F = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{n} F_{-n+2r+1}, \qquad F_{-n+2r+1} : V_{-n+2r+2} \to V_{-n+2r}.$$

Let us now pick $e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, such that the positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ are given by $\{e_i - e_j \mid i < j\}$, and the simple roots are $e_i - e_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Recall that ρ may be expressed as follows:

$$\rho = \frac{n-1}{2} e_1 + \frac{n-3}{2} e_2 + \cdots + \frac{1-n}{2} e_n.$$

Recall that the fundamental weights are $\lambda_i = e_1 + \cdots + e_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. For $0 \leq r \leq n$, let us define

$$\mu_r = -\rho + e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_r, \qquad \mathcal{C}_{-n+2r} = \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg}}_{0,\mu_r}(\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{g})$$

From the results of Section 2.2, we see that the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{C}_{-n+2r}$ has rank $\binom{n}{r}$, *i.e.*, equal to the dimension of the vector space V_{-n+2r}. Let $\mathbf{k}^n$ be the standard representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$, and by $(\mathbf{k}^n)^*$ its dual, respectively. Let us define:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{E}_{-n+2r+1} : \mathcal{C}_{-n+2r} \to \mathcal{C}_{-n+2r+2}, \qquad \mathbf{E}_{-n+2r+1}(M) = \mathrm{proj}_{\mu_{r+1}}(M \otimes \mathbf{k}^n); \\ &\mathbf{F}_{-n+2r+1} : \mathcal{C}_{-n+2r+2} \to \mathcal{C}_{-n+2r}, \qquad \mathbf{F}_{-n+2r+1}(N) = \mathrm{proj}_{\mu_r}(N \otimes (\mathbf{k}^n)^*). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Remark 2.11. These functors are analogous to [BFK99, Theorem 1, Corollary 1]. Instead of thesingular blocks of category $\mathcal{O}$ used there, we use singular blocks of modular representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. The singular Harish-Chandra characters we use are the same as in [BFK99].

In the below, note that although we are categorifying a representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, the categories used in this construction are representation categories of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ over a field of positive characteristic.

Theorem A. Along with the categories $\mathcal{C}_{-n+2r} := \mathrm{Mod}_{0,\mu_r}(\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{g})$, and the functors $\mathbf{E}_{-n+2r+1}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{-n+2r+1}$, there exist morphisms X and T, which give us a categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action (in the sense of Definition 2.2). On the Grothendieck group, the above recovers the action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ on $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$.

As a corollary, one obtains the categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-relation, in accordance with [CR08, Theorem 5.27]:

$$\mathbf{F}_{-n+2r+1} \circ \mathbf{E}_{-n+2r+1} \oplus \mathrm{Id}^{\oplus r} \simeq \mathbf{E}_{-n+2r-1} \circ \mathbf{F}_{-n+2r-1} \oplus \mathrm{Id}^{\oplus n-r}$$

Remark 2.12. In [CR08, Section 7.5], Chuang and Rouquier construct an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ categorification using rational representations of $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{k})$. Using the equivalence $\mathrm{Rep}(U_0(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq \mathrm{Rep}(G_1)$, we can re-state our categorification using blocks of $\mathrm{Rep}(G_1)$. Under the natural restriction map $\mathrm{Rep}(G) \to \mathrm{Rep}(G_1)$, one can show that the two categorifications are compatible, *i.e.*, the restriction maps commute with the translation functors between the blocks.

2.4. **Outline of the proof.** To prove Theorem A, first we will show that this gives a weak $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification as in Definition 2.1, *i.e.*, that the above identity holds on the level of Grothendieck groups. This is a consequence of the following two results, the first of which is well-known, and the second is a combinatorial calculation. Note there are infinitely many Weyl modules $V(\lambda)$ lying in each block. This lemma implies that one can choose a subset that constitutes a basis.

Lemma 2.13. The Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{C}_{-n+2r}$ is spanned by the classes of the Weyl modules which lie in it.

Proposition 2.14. Suppose that $V(\lambda)$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_{-n+2r}$. We have the following equality in the Grothendieck group:

$$[\{\mathbf{F}_{-n+2r+1} \circ \mathbf{E}_{-n+2r+1} \oplus \mathrm{Id}^{\oplus r}\}V(\lambda)] \simeq [\{\mathbf{E}_{-n+2r-1} \circ \mathbf{F}_{-n+2r-1} \oplus \mathrm{Id}^{\oplus n-r}\}V(\lambda)]$$

The proofs of Lemma 2.13 and Proposition 2.14 can be found in Section 2.5.

To complete the proof of Theorem A, we need to construct endomorphisms $X \in \mathrm{End}(E)$ and $T \in \mathrm{End}(E^2)$, satisfying the compatibilities from Section 2.1. For this purpose, we follow Chuang and Rouquier [CR08, § 7.4], where they show that the categorification constructed by Bernstein, Frenkel, and Khovanov satisfies these properties.

2.5. **Proof of Theorem A.** Lemma 2.13 is certainly not new, and known to experts. For the reader's convenience, we briefly sketch a proof.

Proof of Lemma 2.13. First, note that the classes of the Weyl modules in $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ span $K^0(\mathrm{Rep}(G))$. To see this, the classes of the irreducibles form a basis, and the transition matrix between the irreducibles and Weyl modules is upper triangular, using the standard highest weight theory. Note however that this upper triangularity argument breaks down after passing to $\mathrm{Rep}(U_0\mathfrak{g})$ since there is no partial order on $\mathfrak{h}^*$.

Recall from Section 2.2 that there is a natural restriction map $\mathrm{Rep}(G) \to \mathrm{Rep}(G_1)$, which is surjective on the level of Grothendieck groups. Therefore, $\mathrm{Rep}(G_1)$ is spanned by the classes of all (restrictions of) Weyl modules. We also have

$$\mathrm{Rep}(G_1) \simeq \mathrm{Rep}(U_0\mathfrak{g}),$$

hence all Weyl modules span the Grothendieck group of $\mathrm{Rep}(U_0\mathfrak{g})$. Now using the fact that $\mathrm{Rep}(U_0\mathfrak{g})$ splits up as a direct sum of blocks, and that each Weyl module lies in a single block, Lemma 2.13 follows. □

Proof of Proposition 2.14. Since $V(\lambda)$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_{-n+2r}$, $\overline{\lambda} \sim \mu_r$; thus, for some $w \in W$:

$$\overline{\lambda} = e_{w(1)} + \cdots + e_{w(r)} - \rho$$

Let us assume that each of the parts of n parts of λ has size at least 1 (if not, simply add one box to each row of λ; this does not change $V(\lambda)$ as an $\mathfrak{sl}_n$-module). Let us refer the rows indexed by $w(1), \cdots, w(r)$ as being "marked", and all other rows as being "unmarked". Let $S(\lambda) \subset \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the set of weights whose partitions are obtained from λ by adding exactly one box. Let $T(\lambda) \subset \Lambda^+_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the set of weights whose partitions are obtained from λ by removing a box in some row. Recall the following two identities:

$$[V(\lambda) \otimes V] = \sum_{\mu \in S(\lambda)} [V(\mu)]$$
$$[V(\lambda) \otimes V^*] = \sum_{\mu \in T(\lambda)} [V(\mu)].$$

These are well-known in the characteristic zero setting. In our set-up, note that the identities hold in $K^0(\mathrm{Rep}(G))$ since the character map is an isomorphism onto the Grothendieck group. Using the aforementioned functor $\mathrm{Rep}(G) \to \mathrm{Rep}(G_1) \simeq \mathrm{Rep}(\mathrm{U}_0\mathfrak{g})$, these two formulas follow. By the definitions of $\mathbf{E}_{-n+2r+1}, \mathbf{F}_{-n+2r-1}$, we have:

$$[\mathbf{E}_{-n+2r+1}(V(\lambda))] = \sum_{\mu \in S(\lambda),\, \overline{\mu} \sim \mu_{r+1}} [V(\mu)]$$
$$[\mathbf{F}_{-n+2r-1}(V(\lambda))] = \sum_{\mu \in T(\lambda),\, \overline{\mu} \sim \mu_{r-1}} [V(\mu)]$$

Suppose that $\overline{\mu} \sim \mu_{r+1}$; then for some $w' \in S_n$, we have that:

$$\overline{\mu} + \rho = w'(\mu_{r+1} + \rho) = w'(e_1 + \cdots + e_{r+1})$$
$$\iff \overline{\mu} = e_{w'(1)} + \cdots + e_{w'(r+1)} - \rho$$

It follows that for a given $\mu \in S(\lambda)$, we have that $\overline{\mu} \sim \mu_{r+1}$ precisely if the box being added doesn't lie in one of the k marked rows. Similarly, a given $\mu \in T(\lambda)$ satisfies $\overline{\mu} \sim \mu_{r-1}$ precisely if a box being removed lies in one of the r marked rows. Let us say that an unmarked (resp. marked) row is "typical" if it's possible to add (resp. remove) a box in that row; let us call a row "atypical" if it isn't typical. Let $Q(\lambda) \subset \Lambda^+$ consist of all weights whose partitions are obtained from λ by adding a box in an typical, unmarked row, and removing a box in a typical, marked row. Then we have that:

(3)
$$[\mathbf{F}_{-n+2r+1}\mathbf{E}_{-n+2r+1}(V(\lambda))] = c_1[V(\lambda)] + \sum_{\mu \in Q(\lambda)} [V(\mu)]; \qquad (c_1 \text{ is the number of typical, unmarked rows});$$

(4)
$$[\mathbf{E}_{-n+2r-1}\mathbf{F}_{-n+2r-1}(V(\lambda))] = c_2[V(\lambda)] + \sum_{\mu \in Q(\lambda)} [V(\mu)]; \qquad (c_2 \text{ is the number of typical, marked rows}).$$

It remains to check $c_1 + r = c_2 + (n - r)$, or equivalently that there is a bijection between atypical marked rows, and atypical unmarked rows. This follows from the observation that the i-th row is marked and atypical precisely if the $i+1$-st row is unmarked and atypical. To see this, if i-th row is marked, and atypical, then $\lambda_{i+1} = \lambda_i$. But using the explicit formula for $\overline{\lambda}$ and ρ, $\overline{\lambda_{i+1}} - \overline{\lambda_i} = 0, 1$ or 2, with the first case only occuring when $i \in \{w(1), \cdots, w(r)\}$, and $i + 1 \notin \{w(1), \cdots, w(r)\}$. Hence the $(i+1)$-st row is unmarked and also atypical; the converse follows similarly. $\square$

Example 2.15. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_5, r = 2$ and $p = 7$. The weight $\lambda = (20, 13, 7, 2, 2)$ satisfies $\overline{\lambda} \sim \mu_2$, since $\overline{\lambda} = e_1 + e_4 - \rho$ and $\mu_2 = e_1 + e_2 - \rho$. Then:

$$[E(V(\lambda))] = [V(20, 13, 8, 2, 2)] + [V(20, 14, 7, 2, 2)]$$
$$[F(V(\lambda))] = [V(19, 13, 7, 2, 2)].$$

It is straightforward to verify equations (3) and (4). Here $c_1 = 2, c_2 = 1$ and

$$Q(\lambda) = \{(19, 13, 8, 2, 2), (19, 14, 7, 2, 2)\} \qquad .\blacksquare$$

So far we have constructed a "weak $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification" (see Definition 2.1). To complete the proof of Theorem A, it suffices to construct $X \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbf{E})$ and $T \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbf{E}^2)$ that satisfy the conditions specified in Definition 2.2 when $q = 1$ and $a = 0$; the functorial $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ relations will then follow from [CR08, Theorem 5.27] (here $\mathbf{E} = \bigoplus_{0 \le r \le n} \mathbf{E}_{-n+2r+1}$). To do this, we closely follow the template used in [CR08, § 7.4], where they show that the categorification constructed by Bernstein, Frenkel, and Khovanov in [BFK99] is in fact an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification; only very minor modifications are needed.

Proof of Theorem A. Given a module $M \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg}}_{0,\mu}(\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{g})$ for some μ, define $\overline{X}_M \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V \otimes M)$ by noting that $\mathrm{Hom}(V \otimes V^* \otimes M, M) = \mathrm{End}(V \otimes M)$, and using the adjoint map $\mathfrak{g} \otimes M \to M$. More explicitly, $\overline{X}_M(v \otimes m) = \Omega(v \otimes m)$, where $\Omega = \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji}$. Define also $\overline{T}_M \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V \otimes V \otimes M)$ by simply switching the factors: $T_M(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes m) = v_2 \otimes v_1 \otimes m$. This gives endomorphisms $\overline{X}$ (resp. $\overline{T}$) of the functor $V \otimes -$ (resp. $V \otimes V \otimes -$).

Following [CR08, § 7.4.3], let us explain why the endomorphism $\overline{X}$ descends to an endomorphism $X \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbf{E})$. It is sufficient to identify $\mathbf{E}$ as a generalised eigenspace of X acting on $V \otimes -$.This will in turn follow once we verify that $\mathbf{E}Z(\lambda)$ is a generalised eigenspace of X acting on $V \otimes Z(\lambda)$, where λ is a weight lying in the shifted W-orbit of μ (since any object is mapped onto surjectively by a sum of baby Vermas). If C is the central Casimir element, and $Z : U(\mathfrak{g}) \to U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the comultiplication, we have that:

$$C = \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{ij}e_{ji} = \sum_{i=1}^n e_{ii}^2 + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} (e_{ii} - e_{jj}) + 2 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} e_{ji}e_{ij}$$
$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2}(Z(C) - C \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes C).$$

Hence C acts on the baby Verma module $Z(\lambda)$ via the scalar

$$b_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j).$$

Now consider the action of Ω on the sub-quotient of $V \otimes Z(\lambda)$ isomorphic to $Z(\lambda + e_i)$. Using the above formula for Ω in terms of C, it follows that Ω acts on this sub-quotient by the scalar $c_{\lambda,i} := \frac{1}{2}(b_{\lambda+e_i} - b_\lambda - b_{e_1})$. It is easy to compute that, provided $p > 2$, $c_{\lambda,i} = c_{\lambda,j}$ precisely if $\lambda + e_i$ and $\lambda + e_j$ are in the same W-orbit (here one must take the ρ-shift into account).

The fact that $\overline{T}$ descends to an endomorphism $T \in \mathrm{End}(E^2)$ follows from the argument used in [CR08, Lemma 7.21], as does the third condition in Definition 2.2. The first, second and fourth conditions (from [CR08, Definition 5.21]) that we have to check are self-evident. This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 2.16. In Bernstein-Frenkel-Khovanov's categorification, one has an explicit identification between the Grothendieck groups of the categories involved, and the weight spaces that they categorify. The classes of the Verma modules correspond to the standard basis in these weight spaces. However, in our setting, we do not have an explicit identification, but only the identification coming from our $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification. The baby Verma modules all have the same class in the Grothendieck group, while the set of all Weyl modules lying in a single block do not constitute a basis either (since there are infinitely many of them); so it is not clear which modules categorify the standard basis. The classes of irreducible objects are discussed in Section 3.

3. Irreducible objects, and p-canonical weight bases

Although both [BFK99] and Theorem A both categorify the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-representation $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$, the categories involved are different. In Section 3.1, we show this by explicitly describing the representation category in the example where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{k})$ is a path algebra over a quiver with nodes. In Section 3.2 we propose the study of the basis formed by the classes of irreducible objects.

3.1. **The $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{k})$ example.** Let us describe the $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{k})$ case in detail. Much of what is discussed in this section is well-known, but we include many of the basic facts for the reader's convenience.

Here there are two irreducible objects in the principal block: the one-dimensional module $L(0)$, and the $p-1$ dimensional module $L(-2)$. Recall that the baby Verma module $Z(0)$ (resp. $Z(-2)$) is generated by a weight vector v_0 (resp. v_{-2}) satisfying $Hv_0 = 0$ (resp. $Hv_{-2} = -2v_{-2}$), and has $L(0)$ (resp. $L(-2)$) as its head; see Section 2.2 for more details. We have two short exact sequences

$$0 \to L(-2) \to Z(0) \to L(0) \to 0$$
$$0 \to L(0) \to Z(-2) \to L(-2) \to 0$$

Denote the projective cover of $L(0)$ as $P(0)$, and the projective cover of $L(-2)$ as $P(-2)$. The projective covers $P(0)$ and $P(-2)$ both have dimension $2p$, and can be described as follows. Denote the irreducible Steinberg module $Z(-1)$; then $P(-2) = Z(-1) \otimes \mathbf{k}^2$, since translation functors map projectives to projectives. Using the results from Humphreys' paper [Hum73, Theorem 3, pg. 4] (see also [Hum06, Chapter 10], in particular 10.6) it follows that $P(0)$ is the indecomposable summand of $Z(-1) \otimes Z(-1)$ containing the weight vector $v_{-1} \otimes v_{-1}$ (here $v_{-1} \in Z(-1)$ is the highest weight vector). The element $v_{-1} \otimes v_{-1}$ generates a module isomorphic to $Z(-2)$, and there exists $w \in Z(-1) \otimes Z(-1)$ in the zero weight space, such that $E(w) \in \langle v_{-1} \otimes v_{-1} \rangle$; then $P(0)$ is generated by $v_{-1} \otimes v_{-1}$ and w. Thus we have two short exact sequences:

$$0 \to Z(-2) \to P(0) \to Z(0) \to 0$$
$$0 \to Z(0) \to P(-2) \to Z(-2) \to 0$$

Let $A = \mathrm{End}(P(0) \oplus P(-2))$; then it follows that $\mathcal{C} \simeq$ Mod- A. Here A is an 8 dimensional algebra, since

$$\dim(\mathrm{Hom}(P(i), P(j))) = [P(i) : L(j)] = 2$$

For $i, j \in \{0, -2\}$, we construct $\psi^1_{i,j}, \psi^2_{i,j} \in \mathrm{Hom}(P(i), P(j))$ such that $\mathrm{Hom}(P(i), P(j)) = \mathbf{k}\psi^1_{i,j} \oplus \mathbf{k}\psi^2_{i,j}$. Let $\psi^1_{i,i} = \mathrm{id}_{P(i)}$, let $\psi^2_{0,0}$ be the composite map $P(0) \to Z(0) \to P(0)$, and let $\psi^2_{-2,-2}$ be the composite map $P(-2) \to Z(-2) \to P(-2)$. Let $\psi^1_{0,-2}$ be the composite map $P(0) \to Z(0) \to P(-2)$, and $\psi^1_{-2,0}$ be the composite map $P(-2) \to Z(-2) \to P(0)$. To construct $\psi^2_{0,-2}$, note that we can lift the composite map $P(0) \to Z(0) \to Z(-2)$ to obtain a map $P(0) \to P(-2)$; $\psi^2_{0,-2}$ is the unique such map satisfying $\psi^2_{0,-2}(w) = F^{p-1}v_{-1} \otimes v_-$ (here $\mathbf{k}^2 = \mathbf{k}v_+ \oplus \mathbf{k}v_-$). Similarly, to construct

$\psi^2_{-2,0}$, we can lift the map $P(-2) \to Z(-2) \to Z(0)$ to obtain a map $P(-2) \to P(0)$; $\psi^2_{-2,0}$ is the unique such map satisfying $\psi^2_{-2,0}(v_{-1} \otimes v_+) = F^{p-1}(v_{-1} \otimes v_{-1})$ and $\psi^2_{-2,0}(v_{-1} \otimes v_-) = Fw$.

Lemma 3.1. The relations in A are as follows; here $i, j \in \{0, -2\}, k \in \{1, 2\}$.

- $\psi^k_{i,j}\psi^{k'}_{i',j'} = 0$ unless $j' = i$.
- $\psi^1_{j,j}\psi^k_{i,j} = \psi^k_{i,j}\psi^1_{i,i} = \psi^k_{i,j}$; and $\psi^2_{j,j}\psi^k_{i,j} = \psi^k_{i,j}\psi^2_{i,i} = 0$ unless $k = 1$ and $i = j$.
- If $i \neq j$: $\psi^1_{i,j}\psi^1_{j,i} = 0$, and $\psi^k_{i,j}\psi^{k'}_{j,i} = \psi^2_{j,j}$ unless $k = k' = 1$.

Proof. The first relation, and the first part of the second relation, are obvious. The first part of the third relation follows using the definition of the maps $\psi^1_{i,j}, \psi^1_{j,i}$, and the fact that the compositions $Z(0) \to P(-2) \to Z(-2)$ and $Z(-2) \to P(0) \to Z(0)$ are zero; the same argument implies that $\psi^2_{j,j}\psi^1_{i,j} = \psi^1_{i,j}\psi^2_{i,i} = 0$ if $i \neq j$, and $(\psi^2_{i,i})^2 = 0$.

For the second part of the third relation, first note that $\psi^1_{i,j}\psi^2_{j,i} = \psi^2_{j,j}$ follows from the definitions. The following calculations imply that $\psi^2_{0,-2}\psi^2_{-2,0} = \psi^2_{-2,-2}$ and $\psi^2_{-2,0}\psi^2_{0,-2} = \psi^2_{0,0}$; one can similarly verify that $\psi^2_{i,j}\psi^1_{j,i} = \psi^2_{j,j}$.

$$\psi^2_{0,-2}\psi^2_{-2,0}(v_{-1} \otimes v_-) = \psi^2_{0,-2}(Fw) = F(v_{-1} \otimes v_+) = \psi^2_{-2,-2}(v_{-1} \otimes v_-)$$
$$\psi^2_{-2,0}\psi^2_{0,-2}(w) = \psi^2_{-2,0}(v_{-1} \otimes v_+) = F^{p-1}(v_{-1} \otimes v_{-1}) = \psi^2_{0,0}(w)$$

To complete the proof, it remains to finish the second part of the second relation, *i.e.* that when $i \neq j$, $\psi^2_{j,j}\psi^2_{i,j} = \psi^2_{i,j}\psi^2_{i,i} = 0$. This follows from the other relations: for instance, $\psi^2_{i,j}\psi^2_{i,i} = \psi^2_{i,j}\psi^2_{j,i}\psi^1_{i,j} = \psi^2_{j,j}\psi^1_{i,j} = 0$ (alternatively, one may use the techniques from the previous paragraph). □

Remark 3.2. This eight-dimensional algebra A also appears in McBreen-Webster's work on mirror symmetry for hypertoric varieties; see Example 3.18 of [MW].

Recall that given two rings R and S, and an (S, R)-bimodule M, we have a functor $F_M : R - \text{mod} \to S - \text{mod}$, given by $F_M(P) = M \otimes_R P$. The adjoint functor $\overline{F_M} : S - \text{mod} \to R - \text{mod}$ is given by the (R, S)-bimodule $M' = \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$.

Lemma 3.3. This categorification of the $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$-action on $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ uses the three categories $\mathbf{k}-\text{mod}$, $A - \text{mod}$ and $\mathbf{k} - \text{mod}$, with functors between them:

$$E_{-1} : \mathbf{k} - \text{mod} \to A - \text{mod}, \ F_{-1} : A - \text{mod} \to \mathbf{k} - \text{mod}$$
$$E_1 : A - \text{mod} \to \mathbf{k} - \text{mod}, \ F_1 : \mathbf{k} - \text{mod} \to A - \text{mod}$$

The functor $E_{-1} : \mathbf{k} - \text{mod} \to \text{A} - \text{mod}$ corresponds to the (A, k)-bimodule $A\psi^1_{-2,-2}$, the functor $F_{-1} : \text{A} - \text{mod} \to \mathbf{k} - \text{mod}$ corresponds to the (k, A)-bimodule $\psi^1_{-2,-2}A$, and $F_1 \simeq E_{-1}, E_1 \simeq F_{-1}$.

Proof. The functor E_{-1} sends the 1-dimensional vector space to the projective (left) A-module $A\psi^1_{-2,-2}$, so the first part is clear. Using the above fact about adjoints, the functor F_{-1} corresponds to the (right) A-module $\text{Hom}_A(A\psi^1_{-2,-2}, A)$, which is isomorphic to $\psi^1_{-2,-2}A$. □

3.2. p-canonical weight bases in small characteristic.

Recall that the proofs of Lemma 2.13, Proposition 2.14, and Theorem A, are all valid when $p > n$. Hence for each prime $p > n$, one obtains a basis of $\bigoplus_{k=0}^n K^0(\mathcal{C}_{-n+2k})$ by looking at the classes of the irreducible objects.

Definition 3.4. For a specific value of p, denote the basis given by the classes of the irreducible objects as the "p-canonical weight basis".

Recall that we do not have a concrete identification between $K^0(\mathcal{C}_{-n+2k})$ and the corresponding weight space of $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$ (see Remark 2.16). It would be interesting to construct such an identification, under which the p-canonical weight basis is mapped to a variant of the dual canonical basis when $p \gg 0$. Below we do this when $n = 2$. See [FKS06, § 5.2] for a brief exposition of canonical bases for tensor product representations of quantum groups, and in particular [FKS06, Example 5.4] for the example where $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$.

We describe the basis in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 = W_{-2} \oplus W_0 \oplus W_2$ coming from the classes of the irreducible objects. Define $w_{-2} = [Z(-1)] \in W_{-2}$, $w_0^1 = [L_0], w_0^2 = [L_s]$ and $w_2 = [Z(-1)] \in W_2$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} Ew_{-2} &= [Z(-1) \otimes \mathbf{k}^2] = 2(w_0^1 + w_0^2) \\ Fw_0^1 &= [L_0 \otimes \mathbf{k}^2]|_{\mu_1} = 0, Fw_0^2 = [L_s \otimes \mathbf{k}^2]|_{\mu_1} = w_{-2} \\ Ew_0^1 &= 0, Ew_0^2 = w_2, Fw_2 = 2(w_0^1 + w_0^2) \end{aligned}$$

The above computation allows us to identify $K^0(\mathcal{C}_{-2} \oplus \mathcal{C}_0 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2)$ with $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, as $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-representations, so that:

$$w_{-2} \mapsto v_0 \otimes v_0; w_0^1 \mapsto v_0 \otimes v_1 - v_1 \otimes v_0, w_0^2 \mapsto v_0 \otimes v_1; w_2 \mapsto v_1 \otimes v_1$$

Under this identification, the four simple objects are mapped to the images of the dual canonical bases for the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-representation, specialized at $q = 1$ (see the description in [FKS06, § 5.2]).

For the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ representation $V = (\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$, one can give an explicit combinatorial description of the canonical basis. In the case of Bernstein-Frenkel-Khovanov's categorification using singular blocks of category $\mathcal{O}$, the analogous statement about the classes of the irreducibles matching up with the dual canonical basis was verified in [FKS06], by combining the explicit descriptions of the dual canonical bases with the character formulae for the irreducible objects lying in these blocks (these formulae can be found in [BFK99, Section 2.4.2]).

4. A graded lift and equivalence to a geometric categorification

Here we state and prove a more precise version of Theorem B, the second main result of this paper. In Sections 4.1 and 4.2 we give a purely expository overview of linear Koszul duality, and Riche's localization results (building on work of Bezrukavnikov-Mirković-Rumynin, [BMR08]); these will be used in later sections, and the reader is encouraged to return to it when needed. Throughout this section, we impose the condition that $\mathrm{char}(\mathbf{k}) = p \gg 0$.

4.1. Linear Koszul duality.

Here all scheme theoretic objects are defined over the field $\mathbf{k}$. We refer the reader to [Ri, § 1.8] for the definition of a *dg-scheme* X, and the category $\mathrm{DGCoh}(X)$ of *dg-coherent sheaves* on this space. Given a vector bundle F over X with sheaf of sections $\mathcal{F}$, following [Ri, § 2.3] we define the category $\mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(F)$ (here $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts on the fibers of F via dilation):

$$\mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(F) := \mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{G}_m}_{\mathrm{qc,\ fg,\ +}}(X, \mathcal{S})$$

Here $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^\vee)$; and $\mathcal{F}^\vee$ has been placed in bidegree $(2, -2)$.

Now we work in the setup of Section 2.2. Let P be a parabolic subgroup, and let μ be a weight lying only on those reflection hyperplanes corresponding to the parabolic P. Let $\mathcal{P} = G/P$ be the corresponding partial flag variety, $T^*\mathcal{P}$ be the cotangent bundle, $\mathfrak{p}$ the corresponding parabolic Lie algebra, and $\mathfrak{u}$ its unipotent radical. Define:

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}} = \{(X, gP) \in \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathcal{P} \mid X|_{g.\mathfrak{u}} = 0\}$$

Lemma 4.1 ([Ri], Section 10.1)**.** Linear Koszul duality gives an equivalence of categories:

$$\kappa : \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}) \cong \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(T^*\mathcal{P})$$

Proof. This follows from [Ri, Theorem 2.3.10], where we take $F = T^*\mathcal{P}$ and $E = \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathcal{P}$. Then $F^\perp \subseteq E^*$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}}$. □

In [Ri10, (1.1.2)], Riche describes a "regrading" equivalence ξ, sending $\mathcal{M}_q^p$ to $\mathcal{M}_q^{p-q}$:

$$\xi : \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(T^*\mathcal{P}) \cong D^b \operatorname{Coh}_{\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}}(T^*\mathcal{P})$$

4.2. **Riche's localization results.** By [Ri, Theorem 3.4.14], we have an equivalence:

$$\tilde{\gamma}_\mu^{\mathcal{P}} : D^b\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg}}_{\mu,0}(\mathrm{U}\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathrm{DGCoh}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}). \tag{5}$$

By $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}$, we mean the dg-scheme $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}} \cap^R_{\mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{P}$, as per [Ri, Definition 1.8.3].

According to [Ri, Theorem 10.3.1], the equivalence 5 and the graded version $\mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\})$ endow the category $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg}}_{\mu,0}(U\mathfrak{g})$ with a lifting as $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg},\,\mathrm{gr}}_{\mu,0}(U\mathfrak{g})$ endowed with a Koszul grading. The equivalence (5) admits a lifting to the categories with gradings so that the following diagram commutes (see, e.g., [Ri, § 10.2]).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D^b\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg},\,\mathrm{gr}}_{0,\mu}(\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{} & \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}) \\ \downarrow Forg & & Forg \downarrow \\ D^b\mathrm{Mod}^{fg}_{0,\mu}(\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{} & \mathrm{DGCoh}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}) \end{array}$$

Proposition 4.2. The composition $\xi \circ \kappa \circ \tilde{\gamma}_\mu^{\mathcal{P}}$ gives the following equivalence

$$D^b\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg},\,\mathrm{gr}}_{\mu,0}(U\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}) \simeq \mathrm{DGCoh}^{gr}(T^*\mathcal{P}) \simeq D^b \operatorname{Coh}^{\mathbb{G}_m}(T^*\mathcal{P}).$$

For two parabolic subgroups $P \subseteq Q \subseteq G$, and μ, $\nu \in \Lambda$ which are respectively $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$-regular unramified. We have the natural map $\tilde{\pi}^{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathcal{P}} : \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{Q}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}$. The functors on derived categories of coherent sheaves are denoted by

$$R\tilde{\pi}^{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathcal{P}*} : \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}) \to \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{Q}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\})$$
$$L\tilde{\pi}^{\mathcal{Q}*}_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{Q}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}) \to \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\})$$

We define the graded translation functors between the corresponding blocks to be the functors

$$T_\mu^\nu : \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg},\,\mathrm{gr}}_{\mu,0}(U\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg},\,\mathrm{gr}}_{\nu,0}(U\mathfrak{g}) \qquad T_\nu^\mu : \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg},\,\mathrm{gr}}_{\nu,0}(U\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg},\,\mathrm{gr}}_{\mu,0}(U\mathfrak{g})$$

which satisfy

$$T_\mu^\nu \circ \tilde{\gamma}_\mu^{\mathcal{P}} \cong \tilde{\gamma}_\nu^{\mathcal{Q}} \circ R\tilde{\pi}^{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathcal{Q}*} \text{ and } T_\nu^\mu \circ \tilde{\gamma}_\nu^{\mathcal{Q}} \cong \tilde{\gamma}_\mu^{\mathcal{P}} \circ L\tilde{\pi}^{\mathcal{P}*}_{\mathcal{Q}}.$$

The following is a graded version of [Ri, Proposition 5.4.3], which describes the graded translation functors in a fashion similar to (2).

Lemma 4.3. Notations as above, the graded translation functor T_μ^ν is isomorphic to the composition of $- \otimes V_{\mu-\nu}$ and projection to the component supported on the point ν in $\mathfrak{h}//W$; Here the Weyl module $V_{\mu-\nu}$ is endowed with a suitable grading constructed geometrically.

Similar for the adjoint T_ν^μ.

Proof. Indeed, similar to the argument in [BMR06, Lemma 2.2.5], under the splitting equivalence [BMR06, 1.10.3], the functor $R\tilde{\pi}^{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathcal{P}*}$ on the level of $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant coherent sheaves correspond to $- \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}} \mathcal{O}(\nu - \mu)$ on the level of the graded D-modules, with the grading on $\mathcal{O}(\nu - \mu)$ induced from the gradings on the splitting bundles involved. The claims about the functor T_μ^ν now follows from the same argument as in [BMR08, Lemma 6.1.2], and similarly for its adjoint T_ν^μ. □

4.3. **Statement of Theorem B.** In what follows, we are primarily interested in the special case of Sections 4.1 and 4.2 when $G = SL_n$, $\mu = \mu_r = -\rho + e_1 + \cdots + e_r$, $r = 1, \ldots, n$. The singular weight μ_r corresponds to the parabolic subgroup P_r stabilizing the flag

$$0 \subset \mathbf{k}\{e_1, \cdots, e_r\} \subset \mathbf{k}^n.$$

The corresponding partial flag variety is $\mathcal{P}_r = G/P_r = \mathbf{Gr}(r, n)$, the Grassmanian of r-dimensional vector spaces in $\mathbf{k}^n$.

Our main result is that the above categorification admits a graded lift, which is equivalent to that constructed by Cautis, Kamnitzer, and Licata in [CKL10]. Let us start by recalling the set-up used there. Note that:

$$T^*\mathbf{Gr}(r, n) = \{(0 \subset V \subset \mathbf{k}^n), X \mid \dim V = r, XV = 0, X(\mathbf{k}^n) \subset V\}$$

The multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$ of the field $\mathbf{k}$ acts on $T^*\mathbf{Gr}(r, n)$ by dilation on the fibers: $t \cdot X = t^2 X$. For each $0 \leq r \leq n$, define the bounded derived categories of equivariant coherent sheaves on these varieties:

$$D(-n + 2r) = D^b \mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}}(T^*\mathbf{Gr}(r, n))$$

Let $W \subset T^*\mathbf{Gr}(r, n) \times T^*\mathbf{Gr}(r + 1, n)$ be the Lagrangian correspondence

$$\{(0 \subset V \subsetneq V' \subset \mathbf{k}^n) \mid \dim V = r,\ \dim V' = r + 1, XV' = 0, X(\mathbf{k}^n) \subset V\}$$

Let us define the functors $\mathbf{E}(-n + 2r + 1), \mathbf{F}(-n + 2r + 1)$ via the following Fourier-Mukai kernels $\mathcal{E}(-n + 2r + 1), \mathcal{F}(-n + 2r + 1) \in D^b \mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}}(T^*\mathbf{Gr}(r, n) \times T^*\mathbf{Gr}(r + 1, n))$. These functors can alternatively be expressed as pull-push functors in the natural way (eg. $\mathbf{E}(-n+2r+1)$ corresponds to pulling back using the projection $W \to T^*\mathbf{Gr}(r, n)$, tensoring with a line bundle, and pushing forward under the projection $W \to T^*\mathbf{Gr}(r + 1, n)$).

$$\mathbf{E}(-n + 2r + 1) : D(-n + 2r) \to D(-n + 2r + 2) \tag{6}$$

$$\mathcal{E}(-n + 2r + 1) = \mathcal{O}_W \otimes \det(V')^{n-2r-1} \det(V)^{-(n-2r-1)}\{n - r - 1\}$$

$$\mathbf{F}(-n + 2r + 1) : D(-n + 2r + 2) \to D(-n + 2r) \tag{7}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(-n + 2r + 1) = \mathcal{O}_W \otimes \det(V') \det(V)\{r\}$$

In [CKL10, Theorem 2.5], Cautis, Kamnitzer, and Licata prove the following.

Theorem 4.4 ([CKL10])**.** The above categories $D(-n+2r)$ and the functors $\mathbf{E}(-n+2r+1), \mathbf{F}(-n+2r+1)$ give a "geometric categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action". In particular, there exist natural transforms $i, \pi, \epsilon, \eta, \hat{X}, \hat{T}$, which give a strong categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action.

Remark 4.5. There is a difference between the notation we are following and the one used in [CKL10]. The notation in [CKL10] is set up so that $\mathbf{D}(-n + 2r) = D_{\mathbb{G}_m}(T^*\mathbf{Gr}(n - r, n))$ instead, so the line bundles appearing there are slightly different. Theorem 2.5 of [CKL10] is stated over the field $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$, but the proof works equally well when $\mathbf{k}$ is an algebraically closed field with characteristic $p \gg 0$.

Recall from Section 4.1 that $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg}}_{\mu,0}(U\mathfrak{g})$ has a Koszul grading, denoted by $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg},\,\mathrm{gr}}_{\mu,0}(U\mathfrak{g})$. Our main result of this section is as follows; the equivalences needed are essentially those constructed by Riche (twisted by a line bundle).

Theorem B. (1) The categorification constructed in Theorem A admits a graded lift using the categories $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg},\,\mathrm{gr}}_{\mu_{-n+2r},0}(U\mathfrak{g})$).

(2) There exist equivalences $\Gamma_r : D^b(\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg},\,\mathrm{gr}}_{\mu_r,0}(U\mathfrak{g})) \simeq D^b(\mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbb{G}_m}(T^*\mathbf{Gr}(r,n))$ with the following property. After transporting the categorification from Theorem 4.4 under these equivalences, we obtain the aforementioned graded lift. In particular, the functors $\mathbf{E}(-n+2r+1)$ $\mathbf{F}(-n+2r+1)$ in (6) are transported to the graded lifts of the functors $\mathbf{E}_{-n+2r+1}$ $\mathbf{F}_{-n+2r+1}$ in (2) .

In the rest of this section, we prove Theorem B.

4.4. **Linear Koszul duality on Grassmannians.** Let us now revisit Theorem B, and see what happens to the graded lifts of the translation functors between the categories $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg},\,\mathrm{gr}}_{\mu_r,0}(U\mathfrak{g})$ after transporting them across Riche's localization equivalence 4.2. We will find that, after doing this, one obtains a variant of the categorification constructed by Cautis, Kamnitzer, and Licata; the resulting statement will then follow from their results.

First, we will transport the graded translation functors across to the right-hand side of the first equivalence (here $\mathcal{P}_r$ is the parabolic corresponding to the weight μ_r):

$$D^b\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{fg},\,\mathrm{gr}}_{\mu_r,0}(U\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}_r} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}) \tag{8}$$

Define also the parabolic subgroup $P = P_r \cap P_{r+1}$, $\mathcal{P} = Fl(r, r+1, n)$ corresponding to the singular weight $\mu_{r,r+1}$. We have the following maps:

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}_r} \xleftarrow{b_1} (\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}_r} \times_{\mathcal{P}_r} \mathcal{P}) \xleftarrow{a_1} \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{a_2} (\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}_{r+1}} \times_{\mathcal{P}_{r+1}} \mathcal{P}) \xrightarrow{b_2} \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}_{r+1}}$$

Abusing notation, we will use the same symbols to denote the corresponding maps after applying the base change $- \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}$ to both sides; note that $b_1 \circ a_1 = \widetilde{\pi}^{\mathcal{P}_{r+1}}_{\mathcal{P}}, b_2 \circ a_2 = \widetilde{\pi}^{\mathcal{P}_r}_{\mathcal{P}}$. Keeping in mind that $T^{\mu_{r+1}}_{\mu_r} = T^{\mu}_{\mu_r} T^{\mu_{r+1}}_{\mu}$ and $T^{\mu_r}_{\mu_{r+1}} = T^{\mu}_{\mu_{r+1}} T^{\mu_r}_{\mu}$, and using Lemma 4.3, under the equivalence (8) the "graded lifts of translation functors" mentioned in Theorem B becomes the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}(-n+2r+1) : \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}_r} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}) &\to \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}_{r+1}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}) \\ \mathfrak{E}(-n+2r+1) &= b_{2*} a_{2*} a_1^* b_1^* \{-(n-r-1)\}; \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}(-n+2r+1) : \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}_{r+1}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}) &\to \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}_r} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}), \\ \mathfrak{F}(-n+2r+1) &= b_{1*} a_{1*} a_2^* b_2^* \{-r\}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Note that we inserted an artificial shifting by $\{-(n-r-1)\}$ and $\{-r\}$ (without which Theorem B would not be true as stated). Cautis-Koppensteiner ([CauKop]) and Cautis-Kamnitzer ([CK16]) use these functors in a more general set-up of categorical loop $\mathfrak{sl}_n$-actions; see Remark 4.13 for more details.

Secondly, we calculate the images of the functors $\mathfrak{E}(-n+2r+1)$ and $\mathfrak{F}(-n+2r+1)$ under the Koszul duality maps from Lemma 4.1:

$$\mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}_r} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}) \simeq \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(T^*\mathcal{P}_r)$$

Note that W, the Lagrangian correspondence (see Section 4.3), is the intersection of $T^*\mathcal{P}_r \times_{\mathcal{P}_r} \mathcal{P}$ and $T^*\mathcal{P}_{r+1} \times_{\mathcal{P}_{r+1}} \mathcal{P}$ inside the ambient space $T^*\mathcal{P}$. We have the following maps.

$$T^*\mathcal{P}_r \xleftarrow{\beta_1} T^*\mathcal{P}_r \times_{\mathcal{P}_r} \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} T^*\mathcal{P} \xleftarrow{\alpha_2} T^*\mathcal{P}_{r+1} \times_{\mathcal{P}_{r+1}} \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\beta_2} T^*\mathcal{P}_{r+1},$$

$$T^*\mathcal{P}_r \times_{\mathcal{P}_r} \mathcal{P} \xleftarrow{\gamma_1} W \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} T^*\mathcal{P}_{r+1} \times_{\mathcal{P}_{r+1}} \mathcal{P}, \qquad T^*\mathcal{P}_r \xleftarrow{p} W \xrightarrow{q} T^*\mathcal{P}_{r+1}.$$

Let the tautological flag on $\mathcal{P}$ be denoted by $0 \subseteq \mathcal{V}' \subsetneq \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{O}^n$.

Lemma 4.6. After applying the Koszul duality equivalences of Lemma 4.1 to the sources and targets of the functors $\mathfrak{E}(-n+2r+1)$ and $\mathfrak{F}(-n+2r+1)$, they correspond to the following Fourier-Mukai transforms:

$$\mathfrak{E}(-n+2r+1) = q_* \circ - \otimes \det(V)^{n-r} \det(V')^{-n+r+1}[n-r-1]\{n-r-1\} \circ p^* : \\ \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(T^*\mathcal{P}_r) \to \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(T^*\mathcal{P}_{r+1});$$

$$\mathfrak{F}(-n+2r+1) = p_* \circ - \otimes \det(V)^{-r} \det(V')^{r+1}[r]\{r\} \circ q^* : \\ \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(T^*\mathcal{P}_{r+1}) \to \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(T^*\mathcal{P}_r).$$

Proof. By [Ri, Proposition 2.4.5], b_i^* is Koszul dual to β_i^* for $i = 1, 2$. Let us calculate the Koszul dual to a_1^*. On $\mathcal{P}$, we have two vector bundles, $F_1 = \mathcal{P} \times_{\mathcal{P}_r} T^*\mathcal{P}_r$ and $F_2 = T^*\mathcal{P}$. The natural embedding $F_1 \hookrightarrow F_2$ is α_1. By [Ri, Proposition 4.5.2], a_1^* is Koszul dual to the functor

$$\alpha_{1*} \circ \det(F_1)^{-1} \det(F_2)[n_2 - n_1]\{2(n_2 - n_1)\}$$

The tangent bundle of $\mathcal{P}_r$ is $\mathrm{Hom}(V', \mathbf{k}^n/V')$. Hence, $\det(T^*\mathcal{P}_r) = \det(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{k}^n/V', V'))$. Recall that:

$$\det(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})) = \det(\mathcal{V})^{-\mathrm{rk}(W)} \det(\mathcal{W})^{\mathrm{rk}(V)}$$

Hence, $\det(F_1) = \det(\mathbf{k}^n/V')^{-r} \det(V')^{n-r}$, and $n_1 = r(n-r)$. Similarly, the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{P}$ is filtered by $\mathrm{Hom}(V', V/V')$, $\mathrm{Hom}(V', \mathbf{k}^n/V)$, and $\mathrm{Hom}(V/V', \mathbf{k}^n/V)$, hence its determinantal bundle is the tensor product of that of all the three subquotients. Therefore, $\det(F_2) = \det(\mathbf{k}^n/V)^{-1} \det(V/V')^{n-(r+1)}$ and $n_2 = r(n-r) + n - r - 1$. Therefore, $\det(F_2)\det(F_1)^{-1} = \det(V)^{n-r} \det(V')^{-n+r+1}$, and $n_2 - n_1 = n - r - 1$. Putting this together, the functor $b_{2*}a_{2*}a_1^*b_1^*$ corresponds to the following map, after applying the Koszul duality equivalence from Lemma 4.1:

$$\beta_{2*} \circ \alpha_2^* \circ \otimes \det(V)^{n-r} \det(V')^{-n+r+1}[n-r-1]\{2(n-r-1)\} \circ \alpha_{1*} \circ \beta_1^*.$$

Since the Koszul duality κ in Lemma 4.1 commutes with internal shifts (see [Ri10, Remark 11.10]), the functor $\mathfrak{E}$ corresponds to the following map:

$$\beta_{2*} \circ \alpha_2^* \circ \otimes \det(V)^{n-r} \det(V')^{-n+r+1}[n-r-1]\{n-r-1\} \circ \alpha_{1*} \circ \beta_1^*.$$

Noting that both $\det(V)^{n-r} \det(V')^{-n+r+1}\{n-r-1\}[n-r-1]$ is pulled back from $\mathcal{P}$, by projection formula and base change, we have:

$$\alpha_2^* \circ \otimes \det(V)^{n-r} \det(V')^{-n+r+1}\{n-r-1\}[n-r-1] \circ \alpha_{1*} = \\ \gamma_{2*} \circ \otimes \det(V)^{n-r} \det(V')^{-n+r+1}\{n-r-1\}[n-r-1] \circ \gamma_1^*.$$

Using this equality, the stated formula for $\mathfrak{E}$ follows (keeping in mind that $p = \beta_1 \circ \gamma_1$ and $q = \beta_2 \circ \gamma_2$). The corresponding statement for the functor $\mathfrak{F}$ is proven analogously. On $\mathcal{P}$, there are two vector bundles $F_1' = \mathcal{P} \times_{\mathcal{P}_{r+1}} T^*\mathcal{P}_{r+1}$ and $F_2' = F_2 = T^*\mathcal{P}$. The natural embedding $F_1' \hookrightarrow F_2'$ is denoted by α'. We have $\det(F_1') = \det(\mathbf{k}^n/V)^{-(r+1)} \det(V)^{n-(r+1)}$ and $\det(F_2') = \det(\mathbf{k}^n/V)^{-1} \det(V/V')^{n-(r+1)}$. It follows that $\det(F_2')\det(F_1')^{-1} = \det(V)^{-r} \det(V')^{r+1}$, and $n_2' - n_1' = r$. Putting this together, it follows that the map $b_{1*}a_{1*}a_2^*b_2^*$ corresponds to the following map, under the Koszul duality equivalence from Lemma 4.1:

$$\beta_{1*} \circ \alpha_1^* \circ \otimes \det(V)^{-r} \det(V')^{r+1}[r]\{2r\} \circ \alpha_{2*} \circ \beta_2^*.$$

The stated formula for $\mathfrak{F}$ now follows analogously, using the following equality (and keeping in mind that Koszul duality κ commutes with internal shifts):

$$\alpha_1^* \circ \otimes \det(V)^{-r} \det(V')^{r+1}\{r\}[r] \circ \alpha_{2*} = \\ \gamma_{1*} \circ \otimes \det(V)^{-r} \det(V')^{r+1}\{r\}[r] \circ \gamma_2^*.$$

□

Recall from Section 4.1 the equivalences

$$\xi_i : \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(T^*\mathcal{P}(i)) \cong D^b \mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}}(T^*\mathcal{P}(i))$$

induced by the regrading sending $\mathcal{M}_q^p$ to $\mathcal{M}_q^{p-q}$ (see, e.g., [Ri10, (1.1.2)]). The below lemma follows from the definitions, keeping in mind the descriptions of $\mathfrak{E}(-n+2r+1), \mathfrak{F}(-n+2r+1)$ given in Lemma 4.6. See the below diagram for an illustration.

Lemma 4.7. Under the equivalences ξ_i, the functors $\mathfrak{E}(-n+2r+1)$ and $\mathfrak{F}(-n+2r+1)$ correspond to the Fourier-Mukai transforms between the $D^b \mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}}(T^*\mathcal{P}(i))$'s:

$$\mathfrak{E}(-n+2r+1) = q_* \circ \otimes \det(V)^{n-r} \det(V')^{-n+r+1}\{n-r-1\} \circ p^*$$
$$\mathfrak{F}(-n+2r+1) = p_* \circ \otimes \det(V)^{-r} \det(V')^{r+1}\{r\} \circ q^*.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(T^*\mathcal{P}_r) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(T^*\mathcal{P}_{r+1}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_r} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_{r+1}} \\ D^b \mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}}(T^*\mathcal{P}_r) & \longrightarrow & D^b \mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}}(T^*\mathcal{P}_{r+1}); \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(T^*\mathcal{P}_{r+1}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(T^*\mathcal{P}_r) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_{r+1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_r} \\ D^b \mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}}(T^*\mathcal{P}_{r+1}) & \longrightarrow & D^b \mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}}(T^*\mathcal{P}_r). \end{array}$$

4.5. **Equivalence to a geometric categorification.** The following lemma is straightforward, whose proof we leave to the reader.

Lemma 4.8. Suppose that we have a strong categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action given by categories $\{\mathcal{D}(r)\}_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}$, functors $\{E(r), F(r)\}_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}$ (with $E(r) : \mathcal{D}(r-1) \to \mathcal{D}(r+1), F(r) : \mathcal{D}(r+1) \to \mathcal{D}(r-1)$), and the additional datum. Suppose that, for each r, we also have an automorphism Θ_r of the category $\mathcal{D}(r)$ induced by Fourier-Mukai transforms. Define the functors $\overline{E}(r), \overline{F}(r)$ as follows:

$$\overline{E}(r) = \Theta_{r+1} \circ E(r) \circ \Theta_{r-1}^{-1}, \overline{F}(r) = \Theta_{r-1} \circ F(r) \circ \Theta_{r+1}^{-1}$$

Then, the functors $\overline{E}(r)$ and $\overline{F}(r)$, along with certain additional datum, constitute a strong categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action.

We obtain the following.

Lemma 4.9. Define $\Theta_r : D^b \mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}}(T^*\mathbf{Gr}(r,N)) \to D^b \mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}}(T^*\mathbf{Gr}(r,N))$ to be $\otimes \det(\mathcal{V}_r)^r$ where $\mathcal{V}_r$ is the tautological subbundle on $\mathbf{Gr}(r,N)$. Then, the Θ_r's intertwine the pairs of functors $\{\mathbf{E}(-n+2r+1), \mathbf{F}(-n+2r+1)\}$ and $\{\mathfrak{E}(-n+2r+1), \mathfrak{F}(-n+2r+1)\}$.

Proof. In Lemma 4.8, take the categorification $\{E_r, F_r\}$ to be the CKL as in § 4.2. One easily verifies that $\{\overline{E}_r, \overline{F}_r\}$ are precisely $\mathfrak{E}(-n+2r+1), \mathfrak{F}(-n+2r+1)$. To see Θ_r's are induced by Fourier-Mukai transforms, note that they are induced by kernels the same line bundles on the diagonals $T^*\mathbf{Gr}(r,N) \hookrightarrow T^*\mathbf{Gr}(r,N) \times T^*\mathbf{Gr}(r,N)$. □

Summarizing the results of the present section, we obtain that the following.

Proposition 4.10. The categories $D(-n+2r) = D^b\mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}}(T^*\mathcal{P}_r)$, the Fourier-Mukai transforms $\mathfrak{E}(-n+2r+1) : D(-n+2r) \to D(-n+2r+1)$, $\mathfrak{F}(-n+2r+1) : D(-n+2r+1) \to D(-n+2r)$, along with suitable morphisms $i, \pi, \epsilon, \eta, \hat{X}, \hat{T}$, constitute a strong categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action.

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 4.8 and 4.9, along with [CKL10]'s main result (Theorem 4.4). □

Remark 4.11. In Theorem 5.1 of [CKL10], Cautis, Kamnitzer, and Licata show the existence of the maps $\hat{X}(r)$ and $\hat{T}$, both of which come from morphisms between certain Fourier-Mukai kernels. They also show that the choice of $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{T}$ is parametrized by a certain space $V(1)^{tr} \times V(2)^{tr} \times \mathbf{k}^\times$ (which is defined in page 20 of their paper), while the choice of i, π, ϵ, η is unique up to scaling by $\mathbf{k}^\times$. In the proof of Theorem A, we explicitly describe the endofunctors X and T; it should be possible to lift those to the graded setting and construct a canonical choice of $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{T}$ (as opposed to an existence result mentioned above).

4.6. **Concluding remarks.** To summarize the precise relation between the categorification via modular representations $\mathrm{Mod}_{0,\mu}(\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{g})$ and the graded translation functors from Lemma 4.3, and the categorification from Proposition 4.10, we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} D^b\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{gr}}_{0,\mu}(\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\tilde{\gamma}_{\mathcal{P}}} & \mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\Theta\circ\xi\circ\kappa} & D^b\,\mathrm{Coh}_{\mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}}(T^*\mathcal{P}) \\ \downarrow Forg & & \downarrow Forg & & \\ D^b\mathrm{Mod}_{0,\mu}(\mathbf{U}\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\hat{\gamma}_{\mathcal{P}}} & \mathrm{DGCoh}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}) & & \end{array}.$$

The equivalence Γ_r claimed in Theorem B(2) is given by the top row of this diagram. This finishes the proof of Theorem B. We deduce that:

Corollary 4.12. When the characteristic of the field is either 0, or $p \gg 0$, the categorification constructed in [CKL10] (see Theorem 4.4) admits an abelian refinement.

Proof. When the characteristic is $p \gg 0$, the statement is a direct corollary of Theorem B. When the characteristic is 0, recall that we have a family of exotic t-structures on $\mathrm{DGCoh}^{\mathrm{gr}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\})$ indexed by alcoves (cf. Remark 1.5.4 of [BM13]). We wish to choose alcoves such that the functors $\mathfrak{E}(-n+2r+1)$ and $\mathfrak{F}(-n+2r+1)$ are exact with respect to the corresponding t-structures. Since the alcove diagram does not depend on the characteristic (cf. Section 1.8 of [BM13]), and such a choice of alcoves exists for $p \gg 0$, we can also pick a suitable family of alcoves when the characteristic is 0 (cf. Theorem 3.0.2 of [BM13]). □

Remark 4.13. Corollary 4.12 fits into a more general framework developed by Cautis and Koppensteiner in [CauKop]. There they give a construction of exotic t-structures by proving certain uniqueness and existence statements for abelian refinements of the [CKL10] categorification and its variants. Up to shifts, the categorification described by equations (9) can be extracted from the more general categorical action of $(L\mathfrak{gl}_n, \theta)$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ mentioned in the line before Lemma 9.1 of [CauKop] (and proven by Cautis and Kamnitzer in [CK16]). According to [CauKop, Corollary 9.2], the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification defined by equations 9 admits an abelian refinement using certain exotic t-structures. From the previous section, we deduce that this categorification is equivalent to the [CKL10] categorification by linear Koszul duality.

Remark 4.14. One can see that Theorem B implies Theorem A for $p \gg 0$, as follows. Note that by [Ri, Proposition 5.4.3], the categorification from Theorem A is induced by Fourier-Mukai kernels in $DGCoh(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}_r} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}_{r+1}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\})$, which admits natural graded lifting given by (9). From

Section 4.4, Theorem B can be reformulated in terms of Fourier-Mukai kernels on the spaces $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}_r} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathcal{P}_{r+1}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \{0\}$. As discussed in Remark 4.11, the natural transforms between the functors are constructed from morphisms between the corresponding Fourier-Mukai kernels. The nil-affine Hecke relations amount to certain relations between the morphisms of Fourier-Mukai kernels. In particular, the necessary relations (*i.e.*, those from 2.2) between the functors and morphisms on the graded categories of coherent sheaves are satisfied. Therefore, the relations between the functors and natural transforms on the ungraded categories of coherent sheaves also hold. Here note that the said relations from Definition 2.3 imply those from Definition 2.2; see [Rou08, Theorem 3.19] for more details.

Example 4.15. When $n = 2$, Proposition 4.4 can be described as follows. We are categorifying the action of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ on $V^{\otimes 2}$. We have the following three categories, categorifying the three weight spaces

$$D(-2) = D_{\mathbb{G}_m}(T^*\mathbb{G}(0,2)) = D_{\mathbb{G}_m}(\mathrm{pt}), \quad D(0) = D_{\mathbb{G}_m}(T^*\mathbb{G}(1,2)) = D_{\mathbb{G}_m}(T^*\mathbb{P}^1), \quad D(2) = D_{\mathbb{G}_m}(\mathrm{pt})$$

Below we describe the functors between them by writing down the corresponding Fourier-Mukai kernel.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}(-1) : D(-2) \to D(0) &\longleftrightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)\{1\} \\ \mathfrak{F}(-1) : D(0) \to D(-2) &\longleftrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \\ \mathfrak{E}(1) : D(0) \to D(2) &\longleftrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \\ \mathfrak{F}(1) : D(2) \to D(0) &\longleftrightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)\{1\} \end{aligned}$$

In this case, $D(0) = D(2)$, $\mathfrak{E}(-1) \simeq \mathfrak{F}(1)$ and $\mathfrak{E}(1) \simeq \mathfrak{F}(-1)$.

The categorical relations that these functors satisfy are as follows (see also [CKL10, pg. 5]).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}(1)\mathfrak{F}(1) \simeq \mathfrak{F}(-1)\mathfrak{E}(1) &\simeq [1]\{-1\} \oplus [-1]\{1\} \\ \mathfrak{E}(-1)\mathfrak{F}(-1) &\simeq \mathfrak{F}(1)\mathfrak{E}(1) \end{aligned}$$

The second relation is self-evident. The first relation can be verified directly using Koszul resolution [CG97, § 5.4] and cohomology of sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^1$ [Har77, § 3.5].

5. Further directions.

5.1. **A new proof of Cautis-Kamnitzer-Licata's results.** In this paper, we used the main theorem proven by Cautis, Kamnitzer, and Licata in [CKL10] to show that one can construct a graded lift of our categorification (more precisely, by showing that the two are the same). It would be interesting to see if our techniques could be used to give a new proof of their result. More precisely, if one could independently show that one can construct a graded lift of our categorification, the main result proven in [CKL10] would then follow after applying Riche's equivalences from [Ri]. In order to do this, one may attempt to imitate the techniques used by Frenkel, Khovanov and Stroppel in [FKS06], where they show that the categorification constructed by Bernstein, Frenkel and Khovanov admits a graded lift. They use the Soergel functor for category $\mathcal{O}$, so one would need something analogous in this setting.

5.2. **An abelian refinement of the [CKL] categorification involving Nakajima quiver varieties.** In [CKL13], Cautis, Kamnitzer, and Licata construct a generalization of the categorification from Theorem 4.4, using coherent sheaves on Nakajima quiver varieties. The [CKL] categorification from Theorem 4.4 is the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-case of the more general construction. It would be very interesting to generalize Corollary 4.12 to the setting of Nakajima quiver varieties, *i.e.* to construct

an abelian refinement of that categorification. To follow the approach used in this paper, first one would need to construct a family of exotic t-structures on the derived category of coherent sheaves on a Nakajima quiver variety in type A (which would, in itself, be very fruitful). Mirkovic-Vybornov's results from [MiVy03], relating Nakajima quiver varieties in type A to transverse slices for certain nilpotent orbits, are potentially relevant here. See also Cautis's work, [CauKop], constructing exotic t-structures in type A using categorical actions, for some progress on this problem.

5.3. **Geometrically describing the irreducible modules.** In [AN], the authors studied the category of modular representations with regular Harish-Chandra character, and a two-block nilpotent Frobenius character. Using the localization equivalences from [BMR08], this category is equivalent to a certain category of exotic sheaves on a Springer fiber; these exotic sheaves are complexes of coherent sheaves satisfying certain compatibilities. In Proposition 5.10 of [AN], we gave a geometric description of the irreducible modules in those categories, by using certain functors that are defined combinatorially. It would be very interesting to do the same for the categories of modular representations that we study in this paper - i.e. the block with singular Harish-Chandra character μ_r, and zero Frobenius character. If one has a geometric description of the irreducible objects for these categories, it should then be possible to obtain combinatorial dimension formulae.

References

[AN] R. Anno, V. Nandakumar, *Exotic t-structures for two-block Springer fibers*, arXiv:1602.00768 5.3

[Ari96] S. Ariki, *On the decomposition numbers of the Hecke algebra of $G(m, 1, n)$*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 36(4):789-808, (1996). 1

[BLM] A. A. Beilinson, G. Lusztig and R. MacPherson, *A geometric setting for the quantum deformation of GL_n*, Duke Math J. 61, 2 (1990), 655-677 1

[BMR08] R. Bezrukavnikov, I. Mirkovic, and D. Rumynin, *Localization of modules for a semisimple Lie algebra in prime characteristic*, Ann. of Math. (2) 167 (2008), no. 3, 945–991. 1, 4, 4.2, 5.3

[BMR06] R. Bezrukavnikov, I. Mirkovic, and D. Rumynin, *Singular localization and intertwining functors for reductive lie algebras in prime characteristic*. Nagoya J. Math. 184 (2006), 1–55. 4.2

[BM13] R. Bezrukavnikov, I. Mirković, *Representations of semisimple Lie algebras in prime characteristic and noncommutative Springer resolution*, with an Appendix by E. Sommers, Annals of Math. 178 (2013), 835–919. 4.6

[BFK99] J. Bernstein, I. Frenkel, M. Khovanov, *A categorification of the Temperley-Lieb algebra and Schur quotients of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ via projective and Zuckerman functors*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 5 (1999), no. 2, 199–241. 1, 1, 2.11, 2.5, 3, 3.2

[CG97] N. Chriss and V. Ginzburg, *Representation Theory and Complex Geometry*, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 1997. 4.15

[CauKop] S. Cautis, C. Koppensteiner *Exotic t-structures and actions of quantum affine algebras*, arXiv:1611.02777 (document), 1, 4.4, 4.13, 5.2

[CK12] S. Cautis, J. Kamnitzer, *Braiding via geometric Lie algebra actions*, Compos. Math. 148 (2012), no. 2, 464–506.

[CK16] S. Cautis, J. Kamnitzer, *Categorical geometric symmetric Howe duality*, arXiv:1611.02210 (document), 1, 4.4, 4.13

[CKL10] S. Cautis, J. Kamnitzer, and A. Licata, *Coherent sheaves and categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ actions*, Duke Math. J. 154 (2010), no. 1, 135–179; arXiv:0902.1796. (document), 1, 1, B, 1, 1, 2.1, 2.1, 4.3, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.5, 4.11, 4.12, 4.13, 4.15, 5.1

[CKL11] S. Cautis, J. Kamnitzer, and A. Licata, *Derived equivalences for cotangent bundles of Grassmanians via categorical $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ actions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 2.1

[CKL13] S. Cautis, J. Kamnitzer and A. Licata, *Coherent sheaves on quiver varieties and categorification*, Math. Ann. 5.2

[CR08] J. Chuang, R. Rouquier, *Derived equivalences for symmetric groups and $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification*, Ann. of Math. (2) 167 (2008), no. 1, 245–298. 1, 2.1, 2.1, 2.1, 2.3, 2.12, 2.4, 2.5

[FKS06] I. Frenkel, M. Khovanov, C. Stroppel, *A categorification of finite-dimensional irreducible representations of quantum $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and their tensor products*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 12 (2006), no. 3–4, 379–431. 3.2, 5.1

[Gr92] I. Grojnowski, *The coproduct for quantum GL_n*, preprint, (1992). 1
[Gro99] I. Grojnowski, *Affine $\mathfrak{sl}_p$ controls the representation theory of the symmetric group and related Hecke algebras*, preprint, (1999). arXiv:9907129 1, 1
[Lin] Z. Lin, *Representations of Chevalley groups arising from admissible lattices* , Proceedings of the AMS, Volume 114, 1992 2.2
[Har77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. 4.15
[Hum73] J.E. Humphreys, *Projective modules for $SL_2(q)$*, J. Algebra 25 (1973), 513–518. 3.1
[Hum06] J.E. Humphreys, *Modular representations of finite groups of Lie type*, CUP, Cambridge 2006 2.2, 3.1
[Hum14] J.E. Humphreys, *Notes on Weyl modules for semisimple algebraic groups*, unpublished notes, (2014). Available at people.math.umass.edu/~jeh/pub/weyl.pdf. 2.2
[Jant03] J.C.Jantzen, *Representations of algebraic groups*, 2nd ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 107, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (2003). 2.2, 2.2
[Jant04] J.C. Jantzen, *Representations of Lie algebras in positive characteristic*, Representation theory of algebraic groups and quantum groups, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, (2004). 2.2, 2.2, 2.2, 2.2
[MW] M. McBreen, B. Webster, *Homological mirror symmetry from hypertoric varieties* 3.2
[MiVy03] I. Mirkovic, M. Vybornov. *On quiver varieties and affine Grassmannians of type A*, Comptes Rendue Mathematique. Academie des Sciences. Paris, 336(3): 207-212, 2003 5.2
[Ri] S. Riche, *Koszul duality and modular representations of semi-simple Lie algebras*, Duke Math. J. 154 (2010), no. 1, 31–134. 1, 1, 4.1, 4.1, 4.2, 4.2, 4.2, 4.4, 4.14, 5.1
[Ri10] S. Riche, *Koszul duality and Frobenius structure for restricted enveloping algebras*, preprint, (2010). arXiv:1010.0495 4.1, 4.4
[Rou08] R. Rouquier, *2-Kac-Moody Lie algebras*, preprint, (2008). arXiv:0812.5023 1, 2.1, 4.14
[JenWil16] L. T. Jensen, G. Williamson, *The p-canonical basis for Hecke algebras*. To appear in "Perspectives in categorification". arXiv:1510.01556 1

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney, NSW 2006 Australia
E-mail address: v.nandakumar@maths.usyd.edu.au (or vinoth.90@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Massachusetts, 710 N. Pleasant Street, Amherst, MA 01003-9305 U.S.A.
E-mail address: zhao@math.umass.edu